

* * *

Respondents.

On June 12, 2018, petitioner filed a motion for an extension of time (ECF No. 52), requesting a 32-day extension of time for his reply, to July 16, 2018. This would be the first extension of this deadline. Petitioner's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of his obligations in other cases. Respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time. The Court finds that petitioner's motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested.

